Mnemo: Boosting Memory Cost Efficiency in Hybrid Memory Systems



Georgia Tech



Thaleia created a new web platform that uses a cloud-based in-memory key-value store, in order to accelerate data retrieval. She has a lot of data to host, that are trending frequently, so she needs as much memory capacity as she can afford.

DRAM takes 70% of the total Virtual Machine cost. Her budget allows only for a small amount of DRAM.





Thaleia learns that Non Volatile memory is cheaper, so she decides to buy both DRAM and NVM, spending around the same amount of money for more capacity.

However, Thaleia has some high priority clients and needs to guarantee certain latency for data retrieval. Introducing NVM to her memory subsystem, will affect and potentially violate these SLA agreements.





How can Thaleia quickly figure out how much DRAM to NVM capacity ratio to use, so as to have the desired performance, while being the most cost-efficient choice?

4. Mnemo Design



(key ID, performance slowdown, cost reduction factor)

Thaleia Dimitra Doudali, Ada Gavrilovska thdoudali@gatech.edu, ada@cc.gatech.edu



5. Results



Mnemo accurately produces performance slowdown estimates for incremental DRAM to NVM capacity ratio (left to right), across the three top-ranked in-memory key-value stores. Users of Mnemo can then extract the cost-to-performance

configuration, that suits their budget and performance guarantees.



6. Highlights



High Accuracy: Mnemo uses a simple yet extremely accurate model to estimate the performance degradation. (0.75% median error)



Trivial Overhead: Mnemo's pattern analysis and estimation model run instantaneously. The overhead is the time to get the performance baselines.



C

Robustness to Downsampling: Mnemo's estimate is accurate even for downsized versions of workloads, that retain their request access pattern.

> **ECP SICM** (Software Interface to Complex Memories) **SSIO Unity** (Unified Memory and Storage Space)

